United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPAREMENT OF COMMERCE United States Dakent and Trydemark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 450 Alexandra, Maganta 22313-1450 wyw.uspfo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/028,355	12/21/2001	Daniel Evans	14707HUUS01U (22171.305)	3059
27683	7590 01/03/2007		EXAMINER	
HAYNES AND BOONE, LLP 901 MAIN STREET, SUITE 3100			TECKLU, ISAAC TUKU	
DALLAS, TX 75202		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2192	
	•		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/03/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/028,355	EVANS, DANIEL
Examiner-initiated interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Isaac T. Tecklu	2192
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) <u>Isaac T. Tecklu</u> .	(3)	
(2) Brandi W. Sarfatis, Registration No.37,713.	(4)	·
Date of Interview: 12/2/1/200 6	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☑ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applica	ant's representative)	
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No If Yes, provide a brief description:	·	
Part I.		÷ .
Rejection(s) discussed:		·
	•	
Claims discussed:		(-
11, 20, 23 and 27		•
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE See Continuation Sheet	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WA	S DISCUSSED:
Part III.		•
It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summar	e examiner will provide a writ	ten summary of the substance
·		
\mathcal{A}		•
no many		
THAN DAM		
SUPERVIOLA TOTENT EXAMINATION		
		· II
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant	/Applicant's Representative S	Signature - if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner conducted a phone interview with Brandi W. Sarfatis to propose amendment to claims 11 and 20 to obviate 101 issues and indicate allowable subject matter. Applicant attorney agreed with examiner's proposal to amend the claims. In addition, Applicant attorney indicated, he would include additional claim limitation to claims 1 and 27 to expedite prosecution of the case. Applicant faxed draft copy of the proposed amendment on December 21, 2006, however since the case is a two month old and the official amendment was not received on time, examiner will conduct updated search once the official supplemental amendment is submitted to consider the new claim limitation added to claim 1 and 27..